

1
2
3
4
5
6 IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
7 FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

8 Michael Holtsinger

9 Plaintiff, No. CIV S-03-0732 MCE CMK

10 vs.

11 J.M. Briddle, et al.,

12 Defendants. ORDER

13 _____ /
14 Plaintiff, a state prisoner proceeding pro se, brought this civil right action alleging
15 that defendants were deliberately indifferent to plaintiff's medical needs in violation of the Eighth
16 Amendment. On January 31, 2005, plaintiff filed a motion titled "Motion for Judgment on
17 Motion to Amend Complaint and Notice of Missing Page of Complaint with Attached Missing
18 Page 63." In this motion, plaintiff seeks leave to file an amended complaint.

19 The docket reflects that on January 18, 2005, this court filed an order granting
20 plaintiff's motion to amend his complaint and directing service on defendants. Accordingly,
21 plaintiff's January 31, 2005 motion (doc. 70) is MOOT.

22 DATED: August 29, 2005.

23 
24 CRAIG M. KELLISON
25 UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE
26